

JN0-694^{Q&As}

Enterprise Routing and Switching Support, Professional (JNCSP-ENT)





Pass Juniper JN0-694 Exam with 100% Guarantee

Free Download Real Questions & Answers **PDF** and **VCE** file from:

<https://www.lead4pass.com/jn0-694.html>

100% Passing Guarantee
100% Money Back Assurance

Following Questions and Answers are all new published by Juniper
Official Exam Center

-  **Instant Download** After Purchase
-  **100% Money Back** Guarantee
-  **365 Days** Free Update
-  **800,000+** Satisfied Customers



QUESTION 1

You are configuring an IBGP peer between R1 and R2. The BGP neighbor cannot be established. Referring to the exhibit, which configuration change will resolve this problem?

```
user@R1> show configuration
...
interfaces {
  fe-0/0/2 {
    unit 0 {
      family inet {
        address 70.1.1.1/24;
      }
    }
  }
  lo0 {
    unit 0 {
      family inet {
        address 1.1.1.1/32;
      }
    }
  }
}
routing-options {
  autonomous-system 100;
}
protocols {
  bgp {
    group internal {
      type internal;
      neighbor 2.2.2.2;
    }
  }
  ospf {
    area 0.0.0.0 {
      interface all;
    }
  }
}
...
```

```
user@R2> show configuration
...
interfaces {
  ge-0/0/1 {
    unit 0 {
      family inet {
        address 70.1.1.2/24;
      }
    }
  }
  lo0 {
    unit 0 {
      family inet {
        address 2.2.2.2/32;
      }
    }
  }
}
routing-options {
  autonomous-system 100;
}
protocols {
  bgp {
    group internal {
      type internal;
      neighbor 1.1.1.1;
    }
  }
  ospf {
    area 0.0.0.0 {
      interface all;
    }
  }
}
...
```

- A. Configure local-address on R1 and R2.
- B. Configure local-as on R1 and R2.
- C. Configure family inet-unicast on R1 and R2.
- D. Configure router-id on R1 and R2.

Correct Answer: C

QUESTION 2

-- Exhibit



```
user@R1# show protocols ospf
area 0.0.0.0 {
    interface ge-0/0/2.0 {
        hello-interval 10;
        dead-interval 40;
    }
}

[edit]
user@R1# show interfaces ge-0/0/2
mtu 1500;
unit 0 {
    family inet {
        address 192.168.1.1/24;
    }
}
```

```
user@R2# show protocols ospf
area 0.0.0.0 {
    interface ge-0/0/2.0;
}

[edit]
user@R2# show interfaces ge-0/0/2
unit 0 {
    family inet {
        address 192.168.1.2/24;
    }
}
```

-- Exhibit -Click the Exhibit button.

You are troubleshooting an OSPF adjacency problem between R1 and R2.

Referring to the exhibit, what is causing this OSPF adjacency problem?

- A. There is a hello interval mismatch.
- B. There is a dead interval mismatch.
- C. There is an MTU mismatch.
- D. There is an LSA refresh timer mismatch.

Correct Answer: C

QUESTION 3

```
-- Exhibit -policy-statement test_route_filter {
term 1 {
from {
route-filter 192.168.0.0/16 longer;
```

```
route-filter 192.168.1.0/24 longer {  
metric 5;  
accept;  
}  
route-filter 192.168.0.0/8 orlonger accept;  
}  
then {  
metric 10;  
accept;  
}  
}  
term 2 {  
then {  
metric 20;  
accept;  
}  
}  
}
```

-- Exhibit -

Click the Exhibit button.

Given test route 192.168.1.0/24 and the configuration shown in the exhibit, what is the expected result?

- A. accepted with metric of 5
- B. accepted with metric of 10
- C. accepted with metric of 20
- D. rejected

Correct Answer: C

QUESTION 4

Referring to the exhibit, an administrator is trying to advertise a direct route to its neighbor. The route is not advertised.

What is causing this behavior?

```
user@router> show route protocol direct table inet.0
...
204.56.78.0/24  *[Direct/0] 1w0d 15:58:07
                > via ge-0/0/1.0

user@router> show configuration policy-options policy-statement advertiseall
term 1 {
    from {
        route-filter 204.56.78.0/24 longer;
    }
    then accept;
}

user@router> show route advertising-protocol bgp 204.56.78.3

user@router>
```

- A. The policy needs the orlonger match.
- B. The policy needs to match on protocol direct
- C. The policy needs to have the accept action inside the term.
- D. The policy needs to add a seed metric into BGP.

Correct Answer: A

QUESTION 5

You are having problems redistributing RIP routes into OSPF. Your Junos device has the following configuration:

```
[edit protocols ospf]
user@router# show
import my-policy;
area 0.0.0.0 {
interface ge-0/0/0.0;
interface ge-0/0/ ;
interface ge-0/0/ {
passive;
}
```

}

What would resolve the problem?

- A. Apply my-policy as an export policy under the [edit protocols rip] hierarchy.
- B. Apply my-policy as an import policy under the [edit protocols rip] hierarchy.
- C. Apply my-policy as an export policy under the [edit protocols ospf] hierarchy.
- D. Use the area-range parameter instead of a routing policy.

Correct Answer: D

[JN0-694 PDF Dumps](#)

[JN0-694 VCE Dumps](#)

[JN0-694 Practice Test](#)